

Messrs. Worst & Dutmer, Meppel.

Yard No. 101

F.E.

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

GB

VESSEL'S NAME m.v. "PTO"

REPORT Gro. No. 567

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92.)

1st Long. No. _____

Depth "d" _____

2nd Long. No. _____

Proportions = $\frac{L}{D}$ _____

Framing As approved _____

Sheerstrake As approved. _____

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the British Corporation Rules and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to be classed **BS***

1 Dk "pt Elec. welded"

Cell DB 83' (pt W.B. 85 t & pt O.F.; tank over tunnel O.F. FPT 46t, APT 5t.

FK, 3 BH, pt Cem,

P 37' Q 43' F 18'

Mch'y Aft

O.L. 142.4'

C.T. 310

C 14

The Surveyors should be informed it appears O.F. or W.B. can be carried in No.5 D.B. tank and requested to confirm that the capacity of this tank has been included in the total capacity^{as} reported i.e. 85 tons.

It is noted the cargo battens fitted are $1\frac{1}{2}$ " thick instead of 2" as per Rules and it is concluded Owners' approval of this has been obtained.