

Messrs. Swan, Hunter & Wigham Richardson's Nos. 1561/3

After end framing.

It is submitted the Builders be informed that the scantlings of the frames in the motor room have been determined on the assumption that the second deck constitutes an effective support for them, and in order that this may be so a beam was required to be fitted on frames 19-20. The case to which they refer is not exactly analagous to the present one, as the boiler room flat was fitted in close proximity to the second deck in the motor room, whereas in the case now under consideration it is fitted 8 ft. below that deck.

It is appreciated that the Builders desire that no interference with the machinery arrangement in regard to the entrance to the boiler room from the motor room shall be caused, but the Committee feel that the alternative proposed by them, namely, to fit a through beam at the level of the poop deck will not have the effect desired.

Ch. 10.2.38
Rev. 16.2.38
P.C. H.W.

Jay
16th February, 1938. *W.S.*

J.R.B.



© 2021

Lloyd's Register
Foundation
W450-0229