

by Chief Ship Surveyor

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

SHIP'S NAME *Stl T.S. M/V DANWOOD*

Rpt. *osl* No. *3505*

remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement." - Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92).

Long
 Transverse No. *11165* Depth "d" _____
 Naming: Table No. _____ Description *Bull angle frame as approved*
 Longitudinal No. *34265*
 Proportions $\frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Depth}} =$ *13.27*
 Deck Sheerstrake *as approved*

Revised Rules.

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the rules and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to be classed

✠ 100A.1. (Steel)

1 D¹⁰ (Stl)

Cell D.B. 328' 1280t, Tank in Bridge 860t, F.P.T. 249t, A.P.T. 203t.

F.K., 2 W.T. B.H. dispensed with, H B.H., Clays ACP.

P.B 263', F H9'.

machy apr.

It is concluded the spacing of the upper D¹⁰ beams, the height of the tank side brackets above the base line are as approved and that the brackets for the stream wire is also required but the surveyor should be requested to state if this is so.

The Surveyor should also be requested to forward a copy of the midship section as built and to forward the owners letter regarding the omission of the two watertight bulkheads.

