

## Report of Survey for Repairs, &amp;c., of Engines and Boilers.

(Received at London Office 10 DEC 1929)

Date of writing Report 9<sup>th</sup> Dec 1929 When handed in at Local Office 9<sup>th</sup> Dec 1929 Port of LeithNo. in Survey held at Leith Date, First Survey 6<sup>th</sup> Nov Last Survey 8<sup>th</sup> Nov 1929 (No. of Visits 3)

9496 on the Machinery of the Wood, Iron or Steel s/s "Brynymor"

Gross 4251 Vessel built at Burntisland By whom Burntisland S.B. Co. Ltd When 1929

Net 2632 Engines made at Glasgow By whom D. Rowan &amp; Co. Ltd When 1929

Nominal 331 Boilers, when made (Main) 1929 (Donkey) 1929

No. of Main Boilers 2 Owners The Brynymor Steamship Co. Owners' Address (if not already recorded in Appendix to Register Book)

No. of Donkey Boilers 1 Managers Port Swansea Voyage Mediterranean

Steam Pressure 200 lbs in Main Boilers If Surveyed Afloat or in Dry Dock Afloat

in Donkey Boilers 120 lbs Particulars of Classification (which must be inserted precisely as in Register Book &amp; Supplements).

Last Report No. Port Damage

Periodical Surveys, when held, must be reported in detail and seriatim in the terms of the Rules. State clearly the cause of Repairs, if any, and, in detail, the nature and extent of Examinations and subsequent Repairs. Repairs on account of Damage (the cause of which must be stated) should be separated from Repairs due to other causes; and besides being detailed in the body of the report, should be briefly summarised at the end of the report. State also the dates and initials of any letters respecting this case.

In damage cases where the Surveyor has not made a special damage report he is required to state whether he offered his services for this purpose, and why they were declined? Yes Not required

Was a damage report made by anyone else? If so, by whom? Mr Bormack

Did the Surveyor personally go inside each Main Boiler separately and make a thorough examination at this time?

Do. Donkey

If this was not done, state for what reasons?

What parts of the Boilers could not be thus thoroughly examined?

What special means, in the absence of internal examination, were adopted by the Surveyor to assure himself of the thorough efficiency of those parts of each Boiler?

Did the Surveyor examine the Safety Valves of the Main Boiler? To what pressure were they afterwards adjusted under steam?

Did the Surveyor examine the Safety Valves of Donkey Boiler? To what pressure were they afterwards adjusted under steam?

Did the Surveyor examine all the manholes, doors and their fastenings of the Main Boilers? and of the Donkey Boilers?

Did the Surveyor examine the drain plugs of the Main Boilers? and of the Donkey Boiler?

Did the Surveyor examine all the mountings of the Main Boilers? and of the Donkey Boiler?

Has the screw shaft now been drawn and examined? yes Is it fitted with continuous liner? yes Is an approved appliance fitted at the after end of the shaft to permit of it being efficiently lubricated?

Has the shaft now been changed? No If so, state reasons

Has the shaft now fitted been previously used? Has it a continuous liner? Is an approved appliance fitted at the after end of the shaft to permit of it being efficiently lubricated?

What is the distance between lignum vitae or bearing metal of stern bush and top of after bearing of screw shaft? A fit

If the Survey is not complete, state what arrangements have been made for its completion and what remains to be done Complete.

For Damage stated to have been sustained through the pro-

peller striking the East Pier at the entrance of Leith Harbour,

on the 1<sup>st</sup> November 1929, whilst the vessel was being towed to

Leith from Burntisland for the installation of the Machinery,

the vessel was tipped by the head, &amp; the propeller was examined.

Two blades were found broken off at their half length, &amp;

it was recommended that the propeller shaft should be drawn

in &amp; tried in a lathe for truth, that a new propeller should be

fitted, that the stern bush should be withdrawn &amp; that the stern

tube should be thoroughly examined in place. These recommendations

were carried out, &amp; in addition, the after peak tank was filled &amp; tested

with satisfactory results. The stern bush, the propeller shaft &amp; the pro-

peller were efficiently replaced.

General Observations, Opinion, and Recommendation: -

(State clearly what alteration, if any, is suggested to be made in the existing classification of the vessel's machinery in the Register Book, consequent upon this survey, and also any alteration required to be made in the records of the vessel's machinery, boilers, working pressures, &amp;c.; thus, for example, B.S. 9,11, B.M.S. 9,11, or L.M.C. 9,11, 140 lb., F.D., &amp;c.)

The above is forwarded for the information of the Committee,

the recommendation as to class being given in 2<sup>th</sup> First Entry

Report No 17710.

Survey Fee (per Section 28) £ : : Fees applied for 9<sup>th</sup> Dec 1929

Special Damage or Repair Fee (if any) £ 2 : 2 : 0

Travelling expenses (if chargeable) £ : : Received by me 17.12.1929

Committee's Minute TUE. 17 DEC 1929

Assigned TUE 14 JAN 1930

John Houston 2020

Engineer Surveyor to Lloyd's Register of Shipping.

Lloyd's Register

Foundation

W 481 - 00 60

Insert Character of Ship and Machinery precisely as in the Register Book.

Is a Certificate required? If so, to be sent to