



## LLOYD'S REGISTER OF SHIPPING,

LLOYD'S REGISTER,  
17 BATTERY PLACE,  
LONDON.

REC'D 27 APR 1921  
ANS'D 28

NEW YORK April 15, 1921.

Dear Mr. Scott:-

I have today seen and had a talk with Mr. J.R. Gordon, regarding the loss of the S.S. "HEWITT".

He showed me a copy of a letter dated April 1st to the London office (this letter should now be in your hands) which gives pretty well the whole story of the last voyage of the vessel which was on her second voyage after having had a big overhaul and repair under our survey at the W. & A. Fletcher Dry Dock.

The vessel had her usual cargo of about 8,000 tons of sulphur, and up to the time of receiving the last wireless message, was having a smooth passage.

It is however known that a large 5-masted schooner, the "CARROLL A. DEERING" came ashore on the Florida Coast, not far from where the "HEWITT" was heard from, with all sails set and no crew on board, shortly after the "HEWITT" was missing, and it is possible that the two vessels were in collision and the crew of the sailing vessel had jumped on board the steamer, thinking that a safer place than their own vessel, and that "HEWITT" foundered with both crews.

Mr. Gordon is of opinion that if the "HEWITT" was holed in one of her large holds she would sink very rapidly.

It could never be ascertained if the schooner had actually been in collision as she broke up and was nearly buried in the sand before the Coastguards were able to make any inspection.

Mr. Gordon wishes to be kindly remembered to you.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Scott, Esq.,

London.



© 2021

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation

W926-0059

For the Chief Clerk  
as.

27/4/21

Form Redman  
to work

C.F.

London.

London 27th April 1921.

Dear Sir,

Mr. Gordon writes to be kindly remembered to you.

The conversations were quite so much and unobscured.

been in collision as she spoke up and was nearly killed in the same way.

It could never be ascertained if the person had actually

in one of her large rooms she would give any information.

Mr. Gordon is of opinion that it is possible that some

revel, and that "HALL" concerned with both cases.  
I asked on point the witness, thinking that a very large sum of money was  
the two articles were in collision and the case of the witness. I asked her  
"How" about it, she said "HALL" was missing, and if it is possible that  
she was the "HALL" was really "LORD" with all the other things on  
"HALL" & "HALL" some people on the other side of the street.

If it is possible that some people on the other side of the street

are making a special business.

and up to the time of receiving the first telephone message.

The witness had not heard anything of about 3,000 pounds of

one article the A. & V. witness had took.

on her being asked what was the case, she said she had not seen any  
thing, and the whole of the case was the case of the witness. I asked her  
London office (she had not seen any of the other things) and she said  
he would be a copy of a letter which would be sent to the

receiving the loss of the "HALL".

I have today seen and had a talk with Mr. Gordon.

Dear Sir,

© 2021  
Lloyd's Register  
Foundation



RECORDS REGISTERED BY SHIPING

NEW YORK

1921